Table 1 Summary table for the artificial intelligence-based systems in the det         Reference	Aim	Datasets	Algorithms	Performance	Conclusion
Conjunctiva and tear film Barral <i>et al.</i> 2017 (24) (Departamento de Computación, Universidade da Coruña)	Tear film lipid layer classification	Tear film lipid layer interference images/105	MLP, SVM and Fisher classifier	Best AUC: 0.95	A methodology for evaluating tear film classification, i.e., an automatic test for dry eye diagnosis, has been presented, and its ef demonstrated
Sánchez et al. 2016 (39) (Department of Computer Science, University of A Coruna)	Conjunctival hyperemia grading	Slit-lamp images/70	MLP	AUC: 0.841	The results show that the automatic procedure behaves like an expert using only a limited region of interest within the conjunctive
Koh et al. 2012 (40) (Bioinformatics Institute of Singapore)	Meibomian gland dysfunction detection	Meibography images/55	SVM	Specificity: 75.0% Sensitivity: 97.9%	The user-free computational method is fast, does not suffer from inter-observer variability, and can be useful in clinical studies w
Derakhshani et al. 2012 (41) (Department of Computer Science	Conjunctival hyperemia grading	Macro RGB eve images/271	ANN	Specificity: 96.1% Correlation coefficient: 0.89	needs to be analyzed efficiently The neural network-based method is more accurate but at the same time computationally more expensive during training (but no
Electrical Engineering, University of Missouri at Kansas City)	Break-up time calculation	Frontal eve videos after fluorescein instillation/22	MBE	Average difference between a clinician's break-up time and MRF <sup>,</sup> 2.34 s	Demonstrates how an asymmetric graph-cuts approach, can be used to segment drypess
ICT Australia) Grus <i>et al.</i> 2005 (43) (Department of Ophthalmology, University of	Dry eye detection	Tear film proteins/159 subjects	ANN	AUC: 0.93	The SELDI-TOF-MS technology seems to be ideally suitable for the mass screening of peptides and proteins in tears. This highly
Mainz)				Sensitivity: 90% Specificity: 90%	dramatically reduces the analysis time and provides protein profiles with great mass accuracy
Cornea Kumar <i>et al.</i> 2018 (34) (Department of Electronics and Communication	Corneal arcus and cataract detection	Visible wavelength eye images/228	SVM	AUC: 96.96%	The performance measures clearly indicate that the experimental results are clinically significant and the proposed method can I
Engineering, Pondicherry Engineering College)				Sensitivity: 97%	ophthalmologists
Lopes et al. 2018 (31) (Department of Ophthalmology of Federal	Corneal ectasia	Pentacam HR parameters /3693 patients	A combination of RDA, SVM, NB, ANN, and RF	AUC: 0.992	The Pentacam Random Forest Index enhances ectasia diagnosis
University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil)	detection			Sensitivity: 94.2%	
Ruiz <i>et al.</i> 2016 (32) (Department of Ophthalmology, Antwerp University Hospital, Edegem, Belgium)	Forme fruste keratoconus detection, keratoconus detection, 5-group	Pentacam HR parameters /860 eyes	SVM	Forme Fruste keratoconus detection AUC: 93.1%	The present study obtained comparable or better results than the single parameter methods and indices reported in the literature
	classification of corneal conditions			Sensitivity: 79.1%	
				Specificity: 97.9% Keratoconus detection AUC: 98.9% Sensitivity: 99.1%	
				Specificity: 98.5%	
<pre>Covacs et al. 2016 (30) (Department of Ophthalmology, Semmelweis Jniversity)</pre>	Preclinical keratoconus detection	Pentacam HR parameters/75 patients	ANN	AUC: 0.96	Automatic classifiers trained on bilateral data were better than single parameters in discriminating fellow eyes of patients with ur preclinical signs of keratoconus from normal eyes
3madja <i>et al.</i> 2013 (33) (Anterior Segment and Refractive Surgery Jnit, University Center Hospital of Bordeaux)	Forme fruste keratoconus detection, keratoconus detection	GALILEI system parameters/	The classification and regression tree	Forme fruste keratoconus detection Sensitivity: 93.6%	The machine learning classifier showed very good performance for discriminating between normal corneas and forme fruste ker tool that is closer to an automated medical reasoning
		372 eyes		Specificity: 97.2%	
				Keratoconus detection Sensitivity: 100%	
Arbelaez <i>et al.</i> 2012 (29) (Muscat Eye Laser Center, Muscat, Oman)	Keratoconus detection, subclinical keratoconus detection	Sirius software parameters /3502 eyes	SVM	Keratoconus detection AUC: 96.9%	The classification algorithm showed high accuracy, precision, sensitivity, and specificity in discriminating among abnormal eyes,
				Sensitivity: 92.8%	subclinical keratoconus, and normal eyes
				Specificity: 98.2% Subclinical keratoconus detection AUC: 93.3%	
				Sensitivity: 75.2%	
ouza <i>et al.</i> 2010 (44) (Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de	Keratoconus detection	Orbscan II parameters/318 eyes	SVM, MLP, and RBFNN	Specificity: 94.9% AUC: 0.98–0.99	SVM, MLP and RBFNN were effective in detecting keratoconus. There were no differences between the classifiers' performance
São Paulo)				Sensitivity: 98–100%	
nang et al. 2018 (17) (School of Computer Science and Technology,	Identification, localization and treatment suggestion of keratitis and other	Slit-lamp images/1513	CNN	Specificity: 98% Accuracy: 93% (identification)	This system can identify the disease, distinguish different anatomical parts and foci, discern the diagnostic information relevant
idian University) Vu e <i>t al.</i> 2017 (45) (Shandong University)	diseases Hyphae detection	Confocal microscopy images	SVM	Accuracy: 99.74%	and provide treatment suggestions The experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed framework
ilaucoma (anterior segment invloved)					
<i>L et al.</i> 2019 (18) (Cixi Institute of Biomedical Engineering, Chinese cademy of Sciences)	Angle-closure detection	AS-OCT images/4135	CNN	AUC: 0.96 Sensitivity: 90%	The results demonstrate the potential of the deep learning system for angle-closure detection in AS-OCT images
Wang et al. 2019 (46) (Schepens Eye Research Institute, Harvard	Visual field	Visual field data/12,217 eyes	Archetype Method	Agreement (kappa): 0.51	The archetype method can inform clinicians of visual field progression patterns
Nedical School)	progression detection	Front Low	D	Accuracy: 0.77	
Joudat <i>et al.</i> 2018 (35) (Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Bridgeport)	High intraocular pressure detection	Frontal eye images/400 Contact lens sensor parameters /435 subjects	Decision tree and SVM	Accuracy: 95.5% (SVM); 80.25% (Decision tree)	A novel automated non-contact and non-invasive framework has been proposed for analyzing frontal eye images to help in the e intraocular pressure risk
Jniversity)				Accuracy: 20, 204	open-angle glaucoma
echnological University)	Angle closure glaucoma mechanism classification			AUG: 0.001	subjective when compared to existing methods
<i>u et al.</i> 2013 (48) (the Institute for Infocomm Research, Agency for Science, Technology and Research, Singapore)	Angle-closure detection	OCT images/2048	SVM	AUC: 0.921 Accuracy: 84.0%	For glaucoma type identification, an image processing and machine learning based framework was proposed to localize and cla accurately and efficiently, based on visual features only. The framework outperforms existing methods based on clinical features
				Specificity: 85%	
Nongpiur <i>et al.</i> 2013 (23) (Singapore Eye Research Institute and Singapore National Eye Center, Singapore) Cataract	Angle closure detection	6 AS-OCT parameters/1368 subjects	Stepwise logistic regression, RF, Mars algorithm, SVM and NB	Best AUC: 0.956 from stepwise logistic regression	A classification algorithm based on stepwise logistic regression that used a combination of 6 parameters obtained from a single identified subjects with gonioscopic angle closure >95% of the time
ິເu <i>et al.</i> 2019 (16) (School of Software Engineering, Beijing University ວາ Technology)	Cataract grading	Retinal fundus images/8030	SVM and DCNNs	Best accuracy: 86.24% from Global-local (Majority Voting)	Global-local feature representation model to improve the recognition performance of automatic cataract grading
Vu <i>et al.</i> 2019 (49) (State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, <i>'</i> hongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun Yat-sen University)	Capture mode recognition, cataract diagnosis, detection of referable cataracts	Slit-lamp images/37638	CNN (ResNet)	Capture mode recognition AUC: 99.28–99.71% Cataract diagnosis AUCs >99% in all capture modes Detection of referable cataracts AUCs >91% in all tests	The universal AI platform and multilevel collaborative pattern showed robust diagnostic performance and effective service for ca AI-based medical referral pattern will be extended to other common disease conditions and resource-intensive situations
_in <i>et al.</i> 2019 (12) (State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun Yat-sen University)	Pediatric cataract detection and treatment suggestion	Slit-lamp images/175 subjects	DCNN	Accuracy: 87.4% (detection), 70.8% (treatment suggestion)	CC-Cruiser exhibited less accurate performance comparing to senior consultants in diagnosing childhood cataracts and making However, the medical service provided by CC-Cruiser was less time-consuming and achieved a high level of patient satisfactior
liang <i>et al.</i> 2018 (50) (School of Computer Science and Technology, (idian University)	Posterior capsule opacification progress prediction	Retro-illumination images/6090	Combinations of CNN and LSTM (or RNN)	Best AUC: 0.9718	Provides a promising strategy for the progression of ophthalmic disease, and has the potential to be applied in other medical fie
Long <i>et al.</i> 2017 (14) (State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Centre)	Congenital cataract detection and treatment suggestion	Slit-lamp images/886	DCNN	Accuracy: 98.87% (detection), 97.56% (treatment suggestion)	The AI agent using DL have the ability to accurately diagnose and provide treatment decisions for congenital cataracts. And the ophthalmologists perform equally well. A cloud-based platform integrated with the AI agent for multihospital collaboration was b
ong <i>et al.</i> 2017 (51) (State Key Laboratory of Ophthalmology, Zhongshan Ophthalmic Center, Sun Yat-sen University)	Pediatric cataract risk prediction	8 input variables/160 subjects	SEM	Goodness-of-fit P=0.1113	management Proposes a generalized evidence-based pattern for rare and complex disease data mining, provides new insights and clinical im cataract, and promotes rare-disease research and prevention to benefit patients
Gao <i>et al.</i> 2015 (11) (Institute for Infocomm Research, Agency for Science, Technology and Research, Singapore)	Nuclear cataracts grading	Slit-lamp images/5378	CRNN	Exact agreement ratio ( $R_0$ ): 70.7% Decimal grading error $\leq 0.5$ ( $R_{e0.5}$ ): 88.4%	The proposed method is useful for assisting and improving clinical management of the disease in the context of large-population potential to be applied to other eye diseases
Mohammadi <i>et al.</i> 2012 (52) (Eye Research Center, Farabi Eye	Posterior capsule	10 input variables/352 eyes	ANN	Decimal grading error ≤1.0 (R <sub>e1.0</sub> ): 99.0% Best AUC: 89%	A prototype artificial neural network was developed that predicted posterior capsule status (requiring capsulotomy) with reasona
Hospital) Acharya <i>et al.</i> 2010 (10) (Department of Electronics and Computer Engineering, Ngee Ann Polytechnic, Singapore)	opacification prediction Cataract and post-cataract surgery classification	Slit-lamp images/140	ANN	ACU:93.3% Sensitivity: 98%	The results are clinically significant. This system can also be used to test the efficacy of the cataract operation by testing the por images
Findl <i>et al.</i> 2004 (53) (Departments of Ophthalmology, Medical	Postoperative effective lens position prediction	Preoperative biometry measurements/77 eyes	MLP	Specificity:100% Corelation coefficient: 0.68	The prediction of postoperative anterior chamber depth with the MLP was not significantly better than the prediction using linea
University of Vienna)	· · ·				

AUC, area under the curve; AS-OCT, anterior segment optical coherence tomography; OCT, optical coherence tomography; MLP, multi-layer perception; SVM, support vector machine; ANN, artificial neural network; CNN, convolutional neural network; DCNN, deep convolutional neural network; LSTM, Long short-term memory; RNN, recurrent neural network; SEM, Structural equation modeling; CRNN, convolutional neural network; CNN, convolutional ne

d its effectiveness has been junctiva udies where large number of images g (but not post training) s highly sensitive approach d can be used to assist the terature with unilateral keratoconus with ste keratoconus and provided a l eyes, eyes with keratoconus or rmance levant to the diagnosis of diseases, in the early assessment of ween healthy eyes and primary ntervention of doctors and less and classify anterior chamber angle eatures single horizontal AS-OCT scan e for cataracts. The context of our making treatment decisions. faction dical fields And the AI agent and individual n was built to improve disease nical implications on pediatric ulation screening and has the easonable accuracy the post-cataract surgery optical g linear regression

\_\_\_\_\_